



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/676,620

09/30/2003

Michael R. Harris

5620-0007

7940

73552

7590

03/23/2009

Stolowitz Ford Cowger LLP
621 SW Morrison St
Suite 600
Portland, OR 97205

EXAMINER

LAO, LUN S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2614

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/23/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/676,620	HARRIS, MICHAEL R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LUN-SEE LAO	2614	

All Participants:

(1) LUN-SEE LAO.

(2) Graiel G. Cowger.

Date of Interview: 17 March 2009

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:00PM Eastern

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

Bullock et al. (US PAT. 5,351,186) and Beck et al. (US PAT. 5,802,150).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed the limitation of claims 1 and 13 of this application, and the prior art to Bullock and Beck. The examiner discussed how the claim was interpreted in the prior art. No agreement was reached

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Vivian Chin/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)